Committees:	Dates:
Corporate Projects Board - for decision	01 September 2021
Projects Sub - for decision	20 October 2021
Open Spaces and City Gardens Committee - for decision	12 October 2021
Chief Officer approval at GW5	
Subject:	Gateway 2:
Tower Hill Play Area Refurbishment	Project Proposal Light
Unique Project Identifier:	
PV ID confirmed post CPB via PMO.	
Report of:	For Decision
Director of Open Spaces	
Report Author:	
Madhur Gurjar	
PUBLIC	

Recommendations

1. Next steps and requested decisions

Project Description: The play area at Tower Hill gardens needs to be refurbished to ensure its equipment and safety surfacing are fit for purpose and meet current safety standards. The play equipment that is over 10 years old has reached the end of life and requires regular repairs that are unsustainable. This project will look to refurbish the play area with equipment that will reduce the current ongoing maintenance and improve the quality of play provision.

Next Gateway: Gateway 5 - Authority to Start Work (Light)

Funding Source: £120,000 funded via City Fund and £75,000 funded from S106 agreement from redevelopment of 100 Minories.

Next Steps:

- 1. Gather existing site information to assist design work e.g. Topographical survey, Tree survey information etc.
- 2. Internal design team to redesign the existing playground
- 3. Produce initial sketch designs (RIBA stage 2) based on the available information and produce a preferred option for the playground.

- 4. Consultation with internal stakeholders e.g.Open Spaces, Communities and Children Services, Planning and Conservation.
- 5. Consultation with external stakeholders e.g. Historic England, Fields in Trust.
- 6. Undertake public consultation on the design proposals
- 7. Engage with COL Highways team to design interface with public footway/ Highway
- 8. Develop design to RIBA stage 3
- 9. Prepare tender documentation in consultation with City Procurement
- 10. Prepare GW5 report for Chief officer approval requesting authority to start work

Requested Decisions:

- 1. That budget of £16,000 is approved for staff costs and fees and charges, to reach the next Gateway;
- 2. Note the project budget of £16,000 (excluding risk);
- 3. Note the total estimated cost of the project at £195,000(including risk):
- 4. That a Costed Risk Provision of £16,500 is approved (to be drawn down via delegation to Chief Officer).

2. Resource requirements to reach next Gateway

Item	Reason	Funds/ Source of Funding	Cost (£)
OS Staff costs	Manage project, Project design to RIBA stage 3	Central resources	£9,000
DBE Highways Staff cost	Highway interface design to RIBA stage 3	Central resources	£3,000
Fees and charges	Topographical survey, Tree report, Public Consultation events and printing etc	Central resources	£4000
Total			£16,000

Costed Risk Provision requested for this Gateway: None (as detailed in the Risk Register – Appendix 2)

3. Governance arrangements	Spending Committee: Open Spaces and City Gardens Committee
	Senior Responsible Officer: Martin Rodman, Superintendent of Parks and Gardens
	Project Board: No

Project Summary

4. Context	 Tower Hill Gardens is a popular destination for residents, City workers and visitors to the area. It is located opposite the Tower of London and provides an attractive open space including lawns, trees, ornamental planting, children's play equipment and seating. There were 4 traditional wooden play items (wooden slide, Swing, rotating disk, bounce disc) installed in the play area in 2009. It also consists of naturalistic play elements e.g. boulders, timber decking etc. Timber decking was removed in subsequent years due to rotten timber parts. This has now compromised accessibility within the site. Two other traditional play equipment items have been fenced and taken out of public use as they have become faulty. The play equipment has reached the end of life and requires regular repairs that are unsustainable. This playground was originally installed as a part of the Playbuilder (DfE) funded scheme to promote children's play in the City Safety surfacing has been identified as a risk due to degradation of materials. Despite having all statutory and local checks in place, an insurance claim has been pursued for an injury to a minor, degradation of the safety surfaces is being cited as the cause. Current wooden equipment has worn and started to decay, some parts need replacing regularly. Some elements have cracked and or splintered which increase risk. Maintenance costs of this play area has seen increasing impact on local risk budget year on year

5. Brief description 1) The overall aim of this project is to replace critical end of of project life play component of the gardens. 2) Improve accessibility into and within the site that was compromised as a result of removal of the ramped timber decking. 3) The new refurbished play area should deliver safe, stimulating play opportunities for children age 5-13 yrs 4) The refurbished playground to have new equipment that will reduce the repair and maintenance cost each year. Equipment will be chosen with materiality and spare part replacement in mind e.g. equipment that is static and with minimal moving parts is likely to wear out less often, a balance between timber and metal equipment to prolong life. 5) Replacement of existing safety surfacing with a more homogenous safety surfacing e.g. Tigermulch 6) Retention of all existing trees and planting on site with potential to improve shrub and hedge planting. 7) New signage and street furniture 6. Consequences if 1. Faults and defects are identified through regular inspections and repairs will be facilitated where budget allows. However, project not approved it is becoming increasingly difficult to repair aging equipment. 2. Equipment will fail over time requiring it to be taken out of public use (tape, barriers or Heras Fencing) piece by piece until whole play area must be closed. An alternative project will then be required to remove old equipment and relandscape the garden without play provision. 7. SMART project What is the project required to achieve? Highlight a few objectives objectives. These may be derived from your measures of success as described in your Project Briefing. Work with other internal departments to deliver a redesigned play area within Tower Hill Gardens meeting the following criteria: 1) Create a new plan for the playground that is in keeping with the surrounding environment retaining existing vegetation within the site. Equipment chosen to provide a long-life solution within this urban play area. 2) Introduce safety surfacing that is aesthetically pleasing, but low maintenance and improved accessibility. 3) Modest saving for the first 5-10 year period on the repair and maintenance cost each year 4) Minimise the risk of future incident claims and complaints, both of which are measurable indicators post completion.

8. Key benefits	 Access to improved play opportunities Safe and fit for purpose play facilities Reduction in annual staff time in maintenance
9. Project category	1. Health and safety
10. Project priority	A. Essential
11. Notable exclusions	Improvement to the adjoining Highway is outside the scope of this project

Options Appraisal

12. Overview of options	1. Replace existing play equipment on a like for like basis and carry out essential replacement to the safety surfacing. This, however, does not explore opportunities to overcome some of the identified accessibility issues on site. Replacing with new equipment on like for like basis will see existing maintenance issues arise after few years in use.
	 Redesign the layout of the play area using play equipment made from more durable materials. Improve accessibility from the adjoining Highway by reducing existing levels to make the space easily accessible. This could however involve carting sizable amount of spoil off site.
	3. A variation of the option above would be to redesign the layout of the play area using play equipment made from more durable materials and improve accessibility from the adjoining Highway by reducing levels of only a small section of the site where achievable. The small amount of spoil could potentially be accommodated within the site.

Project Planning

13. Delivery period and key dates	Overall project: November 2021 – Autumn 2022 Key dates:	
	 September-October 2021 – present GW2 to Open Spaces Committee and Project Sub-Committee October-November 2021 – Internal design team to begin work on redesign, including appointments for topo surveys etc. 	

	 January2022 - Produce initial sketch designs (2013 RIBA stage 2) February - Internal and external consultation on preferred option April 2022 - Gateway 3/4 Options Appraisal report to Open 	
	 Spaces Committee and Project Sub Committee May -June 2022 - Finalise detailed design (2013 RIBA stage 3) and produce tender package July -August 2022 - Commence procurement for contractor to deliver the design Summer 2022 - Gateway 5 report to Open Spaces Chief Officer sign off Autumn 2022 - Implementation/build on site Autumn/Winter 2023- GW6 closure (considering 1yr rectification period) 	
	Other works dates to coordinate: None	
14. Risk implications	Overall project risk: Low	
	 Considering the sensitive location of the site, internal and external consultation could take longer than anticipate-seek early engagement to ensure emerging designs are compatible. Increased tender price (materials and labour) including preliminaries affected by Brexit and/or Covid where supply chains are affected causing delays Estimated total CRP is £16,500 	
	7 = 5	
	Further information available within the Risk Register (Appendix 2)	

Resource Implications

16. Total estimated	Likely cost range (excluding risk): £178,500 Likely cost range (including risk): £195,000	
cost		
17. Funding strategy	Choose 1:	Choose 1:
	All funding fully guaranteed	Mixture - some internal and some external funding

	Funds/Sources of Funding	Cost (£)
	S106 (Relating to the redevelopment of 100 Minories, London, EC3N 1JY)	£75,000
	Central City Fund resources	£120,000
	Total	£195,000
	'In principle' approval to central funding from of up to £120,000 was agreed on essential grounds as part of the 2021/22 annual capit against this funding is subject to the furth Resource Allocation Sub Committee.	I health and safety all bids. Drawdown
	In addition, a sum of £75,000 has been reconstructed S106 agreement in respect of development.	eived as part of the
	Funding to cover risk to be covered by resources.	Central City Fund
18. Investment appraisal	N/A payback not possible however project w saving to maintenance costs.	ill result in on-going
19. Procurement strategy/route to market	 Open Spaces in conjunction with City Procuprocurement process. Mix of internal and external resources deliver the project. Quotations will be successorial consultant services if required Competitive tender process for contral works and play equipment provider Appointments for the requirements will Procurement Code. 	will be required to ought for specialist ctor to deliver the
20. Legal implications	Play equipment and associated surface the health & safety legislation.	o adhere to current
21. Corporate property implications	None	
22. Traffic implications	Construction stage implications relactions material	ating to delivery of
23. Sustainability and energy implications	 Use of sustainable materials in construction possible. Existing timber play equipment would Grade B wood waste and can be recy wood processing operations such as chipboards etc. 	be considered as cled for industrial
24. IS implications	None.	

25. Equality Impact Assessment	An equality impact assessment will be undertaken
26. Data Protection	The risk to personal data is less than high or non-
Impact	applicable and a data protection impact assessment will
Assessment	not be undertaken

Appendices

Appendix 1	Project Briefing
Appendix 2	Risk Register
Appendix 3	

Contact

Report Author	Madhur Gurjar
Email Address	Madhur.gurjar@cityoflondon.gov.uk
Telephone Number	